Message

From: Work [sarah.kirksey@tceq.texas.gov]

Sent: 12/20/2019 12:12:38 AM

To: Anastasia Watkinson [AWatkinson@responsegroupinc.com]

CC: Hope.Davila@tceq.texas.gov; Jillian.Layton@tceq.texas.gov; pkeith@hdaissues.com; rpdeville@hdaissues.com;

aprather@hdaissues.com; dlaplante@hdaissues.com; Erika Lamberth [ELamberth@responsegroupinc.com];

kathy.sauceda@tceq.texas.gov; Cronin, Sara [Sara.Cronin@tpcgrp.com]; Doughty, Haley J.

[Haley.Doughty@tpcgrp.com]; Adams, Adam [Adams.Adam@epa.gov]; Acevedo, Janie [Acevedo.Janie@epa.gov];

Assunto, Carmen [Assunto.Carmen@epa.gov]

Subject: Re: **For Review** Unified Command News Release Update #38 DRAFT

TCEQ has no issues. Thanks

Sarah

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 19, 2019, at 5:59 PM, Anastasia Watkinson < AWatkinson@responsegroupinc.com > wrote:

For TCEQ approval – slight language change from first draft distributed earlier.

Thanks,

Ana.

Anastasia Watkinson

Jetty Regional Project Manager Crisis Communications

The Response Group Mobile: +1 832.334.3649

Email: awatkinson@responsegroupinc.com

Have a Jetty Question? http://jettyapp.com/support/

Is this an emergency? Please call TRG 24-hour Support: +1 281.880.5000 Jetty Software-*The complete tool for communicating with your stakeholders*

<News Release 38 DRAFT2.docx>

On Dec 19, 2019, at 5:49 PM, Adams, Adam (Adams, Adam)@epa.gov> wrote:

No problem. Maybe fresh eyes on it after a bit brought that to my attention.

Just a reminder to express that the environmental data has not produced new results that would present the potential for an impact to human health impact, if that is the case. The UC with the state can confirm this, since they have the data.

No need to further include EPA on the concurrence, until the data show and/or operations indicate a new off-site impact has occurred or could occur. If this does happen, I'd expect to be notified by the UC rather than in a draft press release.

Thanks for the follow up and clarification. I'm glad it's all still going well.

VR, Adam

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 19, 2019, at 5:39 PM, Alisha Prather aprather@hdaissues.com wrote:

Hi Adam -

Thanks so much for your review and feedback on the draft media update. The line regarding environmental monitoring in update draft #38 (below) is the same as has been used since update #34. We'd welcome your input on any edits needed to assure accuracy.

Its our understanding in the JIC that air monitoring data continue to show 'non-detect' levels and water quality remains normal, hence no recent changes to the text in the updates.

The language used in previous media updates is below for reference.

(updates #34-37)

Environmental update: Air and water quality monitoring is ongoing and continues to confirm no health impacts to air or water in the community.

(updates #31-33)

Environmental update: Sustained air monitoring samples confirmed no actionable levels of butadiene in the community. Additionally, there has been no impact to drinking water or surface water quality around TPC Group Port Neches Operations. TCEQ and TPC Group continue to conduct air monitoring, air sampling and surface water sampling activities. Any reduction in the air monitoring/sampling activities would require TCEQ State on Scene Command approval.

<u>Please "reply all" with your thoughts since I'll be out of pocket in a bit.</u> We are happy to edit the statement and review any text updates with TCEQ.

Thanks much, Alisha

On Dec 19, 2019, at 5:20 PM, Anastasia Watkinson < <u>AWatkinson@responsegroupinc.com</u>> wrote:

From: Adams, Adam [mailto:Adams.Adam@epa.gov]

Sent: Thursday, December 19, 2019 5:16 PM

To: Anastasia Watkinson < AWatkinson@responsegroupinc.com >

Cc: Assunto, Carmen < Assunto Carmen@epa.gov >; Acevedo,

Janie < Acevedo Janie @epa.gov >

Subject: Re: **For Review** Unified Command News Release

Update #38 DRAFT

Anastasia,

Are you saying that the environmental assessment efforts are not indicating any affects to human health Or are you saying that the monitoring and/or sampling efforts from the environmental assessment efforts have not measured concentrations in the community that would potentially impact human health?

I'm not sure how an environmental assessment measurement would show if a human's health was impacted.

Since I've not seen any environmental data since we transitioned the remediation over to state oversight, I can't vouch for any statements regarding the environmental data. I'm not saying to send me the data. The state would provide comments to this, as they are currently providing oversight.

Should environmental results indicate a change in the impact to the community, UC has been advised to give me notice. I've not been given any updates to this effect.

VR,

Adam

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 19, 2019, at 4:52 PM, Anastasia Watkinson <<u>AWatkinson@responsegroupinc.com</u>> wrote:

Good evening.. please review the attached UC news release update #38 for approval. There are no significant changes from the prior update, issued yesterday. Registration information for STAN has been added per request.

Thanks, Ana.

Anastasia Watkinson
Jetty Regional Project Manager
Crisis Communications
The Response Group

Mobile: +1 832.334.3649

Email: awatkinson@responsegroupinc.com

Have a Jetty Question? http://jettyapp.com/support/
Is this an emergency? Please call TRG 24-hour Support: +1
281.880.5000

Jetty Software-The complete tool for communicating with your stakeholders

<News Release 38_DRAFT.docx>